



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/607,532

06/27/2003

Keith W. Reiss

8107.002.US

6160

69911

7590

06/30/2008

JAMES REMENICK
NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG, LLP
1300 I STREET NW
SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

SODERQUIST, ARLEN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1797

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/30/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/607,532	Applicant(s) REISS, KEITH W.	
	Examiner Arlen Soderquist	Art Unit 1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Arlen Soderquist.

(3) James Remenick.

(2) Matthew Smith.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 25 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 16, 18-20, 22 and 23.

Identification of prior art discussed: Stumpf.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Relative to claims 20 and 23, changes that would bring the claims into allowance were discussed and so indicated by examiner. Relative to claim 16, examiner indicated that incorporation of the limitations of claim 18 or 19 and applicant's comments/arguments would be considered when filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Arlen Soderquist/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required